

"Victory at all costs, victory in spite of all terror, victory however long and hard the road may be; for without victory there is no survival."

RECEIVED

— Sir Winston Churchill

MAR 9 - 1970

WILCOX
COLLECTION

Common Sense®

Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

!
 "The truth,
 the whole truth,
 and nothing
 but the truth
 ... without fear
 or favor"

Conde McGinley
 1899 • FOUNDER • 1963

Issue No. 553, January 15, 1970

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

AFTER NINE YEARS OF FUTILITY AND 40,000 DEATHS

Vietnam: What Is It All About?

An Exercise in Base Villany, Incalculable Infamy, Bottomless Rascality, Unprecedented Treason
U.S. Withdrawal Not to Bring Peace But to Prepare New Battlefield for Control of Vietnam


REAL MEANING OF VIETNAM

By FRED FARREL

It is time someone explained to the American people the real meaning of the war in Vietnam. The Vietnam war cannot be understood out of context. It can be understood only when one understands the secret policies of the Establishment which rules over us. The shameful debacle in Vietnam is virtually an unprecedented piece of ignominy. We have moved into a small country and for a number of years have carried on an absolutely pointless and worthless military operation, in which thousands of people have been killed and a small country disrupted, indeed nearly demolished. American patriots who have always supported American military policy must now face the fact that in this case American policy is indefensible. Our military forces could have won the war; they could have established peace and order; but they were not allowed to do so. The bodies of 40,000 young Americans have been shipped home in GI caskets. To what end?

There might be good reasons for our being in Southeast Asia. World order demands the presence of a great power in that area. The British bastion at Singapore was a key factor in maintaining the hundred-year Pax Britannica. The Straits of Malacca are vital to world commerce. We might well wish to prevent the spread of Communism in Southeast Asia. These might have been good reasons for our being in Southeast Asia. Unhappily, none of these sound reasons actually accounted for our presence there. In seventy years, the United States Government has never fought Communism anywhere in the world. On the contrary, for seventy years the American Establishment has backed Communism around the world. American money went into the abortive revolution in Russia back in 1905. Again in 1917, American interests backed the October Revolution. All of the leading revolutionists of Russia had quite cosy connections with the American Establishment. The great banks of New York furnished the money. Again, the American Establishment backed Chinese Communism. All of this is well-documented historical reality. American "anti-Communism" is a worthless sham, concocted of bombast, sham, humbug, empty bluster, and consummate fraud. It is designed merely to deceive the American people. It is never, never, never allowed to interfere in any serious way with the policy which the American Establishment has followed with absolute consistency for seventy years, the policy of


ARCHITECTS OF TREASONOUS U.S. FOREIGN POLICY



WALT ROSTOW, top State Department planner and Presidential Assistant who dictated Foreign Policy in the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations; authored treasonous Test Ban Treaty and Disarmament Document No. 7277, stated in his book: "It is in the best interests of the United States to seek an end to nationhood as it has been historically defined." ARTHUR GOLDBERG, former U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations who publicly stated it was not the policy of the U.S. to win the war in Vietnam. The New York Times, March 5, 1966, quotes Goldberg as follows: "My view is that



America is not fighting to win a war ... the purpose of war is not to annihilate an enemy but to get him to mend his ways." HENRY A. KISSINGER, German-born Zionist, son of a rabbi, once foreign policy advisor to Governor Rockefeller; dominant figure in the super-secret mysterious Bilderberg organization and feature speaker at secret meetings to which the press and servants were barred. Kissinger is Nixon's top National Security planner and organizer who stands beside the President at every fateful decision and even wields more power than Rostow.



backing Communism around the world, while publicly pretending to oppose it.

We did not move into Vietnam to fight Communism. We moved into Vietnam to help Communism. In Vietnam we built terribly expensive bases, docks, communications facilities, warehouses, supply depots. Our preparations in Vietnam were on a scale entirely out of proportion to the task of carrying on a small war in a small country. What was the real intended function of these facilities?

The real purpose was to aid Red China in a war against Russia.

The American Establishment regards the Russians as incorrigible baddies. We Americans gave the Russians their Communist Revolution. The great banks of New York deposited fifty million dollars at one time to the account of V. I. Lenin in a bank in

Stockholm. V. I. Lenin said, "Socialism would have been impossible without the help of the great banks." We Americans murdered the Russian Imperial family. We brought the benighted Russians the benefits of Communism. They have never properly appreciated what we have done for them. The pesky scoundrels murdered Leon Trotsky, an extremely well-connected man with lots of "juice" related to the mighty Warburgs, no less. As long ago as 1928, the American Jews were looking for nations which could be incited into war against Russia. It has been whispered that the Jews even supported the rise of Hitler. Indeed they did, up to a point. The Jews bitterly repented having supported Hitler; but at one time they did support him. They wanted a war against Russia. Germany was the handiest nation. Why not let a few million Germans and a few million Russians die, so that Jewish interests might be served?

It should be understood that the Jews did not want merely "Communism" in Russia. They wanted just a certain kind of Communism. They wanted Trotskyite Communism. Leon Trotsky was a New Yorker, a well-trained conspirator, a man of humble origins but related by marriage to the great banking families of New York. Stalin, on the other hand, was worse than nobody. He was a rascal Goy; beyond that, he was a Goy of the worst kind, a Georgian. He belonged to the same race as the Prince Midvanni who married so well in America. It is said that everybody in Soviet Georgia wants to be a prince; nobody wants to be

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

"... in our time, the West is swayed by a profound will to die. Since my desire is to live, I must live as far as possible outside the vapors of the perishing West even to the point of living as differently as possible from it ... Actually, and with the profoundest vindictiveness of unhealth, it does not wish to be defended; it deeply resents anyone who would defend it and will seek to destroy him. And what subtle ways it finds in alliance with just those who seek its destruction! Because it cannot be defended without facing the truth about itself. Nor can anyone truly defend it without, ultimately, speaking the truth about it. That it cannot stand and so, first of all, it must long to destroy those who would save it."

—Whittaker Chambers in COLD FRIDAY